

JIC Executive Meeting Wednesday 7th June 2017 20:00-21:00 UTC Record of Discussion

Location: Teleconference

1. Welcome, Apologies.

The Chair welcomed attendees to the meeting, making special mention of guests and the two new JIC representatives from CDISC. Apologies are noted above.

2. Minutes of last meeting (20170416)

Minutes were approved.

3. Agenda approval, requests for AOB

Agenda approved. No new business was raised.

4. Review of actions from previous meeting

Outstanding actions were listed as agenda items below...

5. SKMT Glossary

Supporting document:

https://confluence.ihtsdotools.org/download/attachments/42403811/SKMT_System%20Updates%20V0.4 %20%202017%2006.docx?api=v2

Item to revisit the SKMT JIC arrangement and how to progress more effectively. Suggested next steps:

a) Update representative to JIC SKMT Governance Committee

b) Schedule a meeting for the JIC SKMT Governance Committee

c) Prioritisation of activities required for SKMT upgrade and management and how to enable these activities

Guest presenter Heather Grain (HGR) stated that she was looking at scheduling quarterly meetings for the SKMT Governance Committee (prioritizing improvement of the tooling), but needed the JIC's SDOs to update who their representative on this committee would be. An Executive said there had been a question as to which body is responsible for SKMT, and asked those on the call to confirm if it definitely belonged to the JIC? Another Executive replied that SKMT did indeed start as a JIC initiative and should continue as one. Others agreed and said this was a reconfirmation as necessary. It was stated that it was very important that the JIC has broad involvement with this Governance Committee in order to tackle issues such as

🕏 Joint Initiative Council

harmonization. Clarification was requested about the meaning of "governance" in the name of this committee, as it was understood that it wasn't the same sort of 'governance' as what was being discussed here – it is more about getting consistent and agreeable points of view across about particular content items. An Executive therefore wondered if the JIC might want to delineate between governance in regards SKMT as a product offering and governance related to the operations of SKMT? HGR replied that the JIC should revisit the original Terms of Reference for this committee and decide on how they wish for it to be modified, but she agreed that the initial activities of the committee were largely to help organizations engage with the tooling, but the scope intended was broader than that. She has the original documentation of the scope and intent of the SKMT Governance Committee, and as some time has gone by it would be a good idea to review this. The Chair agreed and said we must consider this document in context of what the future looks like, and how the JIC as a whole is going to handle these sorts of issues, especially as this is probably one of a few potentially coming forward. He asked HGR to send the original document to the JIC's secretary so it can be circulated to the JIC. It was suggested that the original committee should then reconvene and also revisit if the name of the group should change, making a recommendation to the JIC executive. HGR agreed, but asked each SDO to confirm with her who their representative would be.

| Action 1 | HGR to send the original Terms of Reference for the SKMT Governance Committee to the secretary so it can be shared prior to the next call. |
|----------|--|
| Action 2 | The JIC's SDOs to confirm who their representatives will be on the SKMT Governance Committee (please advise Heather Grain: <u>heather@lginformatics.com</u>) |
| Action 3 | HGR to reconvene the SKMT Governance Committee to meet so they can review the Terms of Reference and make some recommendations on a future JIC call. |

6. Presentation by Personal Connected Heath Alliance (potential new JIC members)

Presentation slides:

https://confluence.ihtsdotools.org/download/attachments/42403811/JIC_PCHAlliance_20170607r02.pptx? api=v2

Michael Kirwan (MKI) from PCHA gave the presentation linked above.

Questions:

MKI was asked if it was correct that PCHA is a strategic business unit of HIMSS? MKI replied that this was correct. He was then asked exactly who it was that wanted to join the JIC – is it HIMSS, is it PCH Alliance, or is it the Continua Design Guidelines Technical Group? MKI replied that it was PCH Alliance, and Continua is a brand or initiative within PCHA. An Executive asked if it was correct that Continua has the brand of the standards work? MKI replied that this was correct. An Executive stated that MKI had used the term 'guidelines' but the JIC use the term 'standards' and we equivocate PCHA's design guidelines to standards? MKI replied that PCHA was not claiming to be an SDO but there was a precedent with IHE as they too are not an SDO and are a part of HIMSS. He also stated that the Continua Design Guidelines (CDG) are contributed to the ITU annually who then consent the CDGs as a global standard worldwide (they've done so since 2013 while converting it into 6 different languages).

The Chair said that a copy of the criteria of joining had been circulated, and at this point the JIC could not comment on PCHA's bid to join the JIC until a formal application had been submitted. Once received then a



formal vote can take place. He said one of the things that was quite clear is that the nuance between what PCHA is and what an SDO is should be expanded on in the application.

MKI agreed, and said would like to observe on JIC meetings if they are unable to become full members. MNU said that IHE recently went through a similar exercise and so he offered to assist with the application process if MKI wished. An Executive asked if the application could also explore their relationship with the ITU? MKI agreed to this.

7. Communications Strategy for JIC

[**Notes from 20170416-01** – JIC Executive need to author and make sure they are able to identity the initiatives, communication and how to move this forward. ACTION (ALL) A task force will set up; At the June meeting, further recruitment of volunteers will be requested]

The Chair of this group said that he hoped to have draft materials for discussion by the next face-to-face meeting in November. The JIC's Chair suggested that agenda item 8 (on JIC involvement with governments) should also fall to this task force, which those on the call agreed to.

8. JIC Involvement with Governments

[Notes from 20170416: "JIC has little involvement with the government – how does the JIC get to a level of involving the governments – add to future agendas. In the RSP, ISO TC215 has written sections for the government. Suggest reviewing the possibility of the Health Summits and have the government regulators speak to us as the Standards Community. There were five in the past."]

See item 7 above.

9. Aging Community

[**Notes from 20170416:** "Aging Community: A workshop was held in South Korea 15 April 2017, and this will be discussed at ISO TC215 This initiative will cross many SDOs. One example is using a common use cases. This to be added to June 2017 meeting.]

The Chair asked if this item should fall to another task force? An Executive replied that it is really falling under ISO/TC 215 and he did not think the JIC has any action items on it, but a representative from ISO replied that TC215 would welcome participation from others.

10. JIC Standards Set Work – Patient Summary: Progress update

Presentation slides:

https://confluence.ihtsdotools.org/download/attachments/42403811/JIC%2020170607%20PSSS%20updat e%20v0.01.pptx?api=v2

The Chair of the PSSS project group said that the PSSS package was based on principles - that it can be read as a whole package, avoiding repetition across the sections and with linkages to external pointers, references and standard across the package. It should be a live document so easily added to and amended, as things will evolve with use. They informed the group that the package will be available for review by JIC members at the end of June, with feedback by end of July (further timelines are in the slides)



The JIC Chair thanked everyone for his or her participation so far and said it was great to be finally looking at the finish line.

11. Drafting of FHIR message on behalf of the JIC? (Continued discussion)

[20170416-03: ACTION: FMC to circulate the above paper for review and comments in the meeting in June. Paper by EHA: Data Transport Standards (FHIR Talking Points)]

The Chair asked for comments and feedback to be submitted directly to HL7 so that it can be coordinated.

12. Olympics Healthcare Interoperability initiative update

[**Notes from 20170416:** "A funded project under HIMSS and SNOMED. This is in the first start up phase and it is 50% complete. There have been successful discussions with the International Olympic Committee. Target 2020 Japan, and Japan, Brazil, US are the initial pilots. The JIC should continue to monitor."]

An Executive reported that since the last meeting the Olympics Healthcare Interoperability (OHI) Initiative has completed a round of engagement initiatives in Asia, in preparation for Tokyo and Beijing. They are also working with the bid from Los Angeles for the 2024 games, which is a contest between LA and Paris. He stated that the representatives behind LA's bid are very aggressively pursuing the Initiative, and if LA does not win in 2024 then they should get following event. This gives the OHI Initiative a very robust position. Importantly, there is definitely going to be a need down the road to figure out what it is that the various SDOs supply in order to make it happen in terms of Standards material and to allow the interoperability to happen. An Executive asked if collaboration has also been offered to Paris? MNU said that the LA bid reached out to them directly, and at the moment they had not been working with Paris but would do so if the city won the 2024 bid.

13. Decision on location of November face-to-face meeting

This was agreed as being a meeting at the SNOMED International office on Saturday 11th November 2017. The meeting would start in the morning (8.30) and be done by 3pm, so people could fly out.

14. New business

No new business was raised.

15. Next meeting

Next teleconference on Wednesday 12th July 2017 from 20:00-21:30 UTC.

16. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned after the Chair thanked the attendees for their time.